

Nonrelativistic Schrödinger eq.:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H\psi, \quad H \text{ "Hamiltonian".}$$

How to get H ?

- Take Hamiltonian function $H(x, p)$ from classical mechanics:

$$H(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) \quad \text{total energy}$$

and replace p and x with operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$p \rightarrow -i\hbar \nabla, \quad x \rightarrow [f \mapsto x \cdot f] \quad \text{"Quantisation"}$$

\leadsto Schrödinger eq.:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi + V\psi \quad (\star)$$

Relativistic QM:

Problem with (\star) : 2nd derivative in space, 1st derivative in time
 \leadsto not Lorentz invariant.

Solution: start with relativistic kinetic energy:

$$H_{\text{rel}}(x, p) = \sqrt{c^2 p^2 + m^2 c^4}, \quad c = \text{speed of light}$$

$$\text{Quantisation} \leadsto i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \sqrt{-c^2 \hbar^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4} \psi \quad (\star\star)$$

Two options: 1) Square $(\star\star)$: $-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = (-c^2 \hbar^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4) \psi$
 $\text{"Klein-Gordon eq."}$

2) Compute square root of $-c^2 \hbar^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4$.

Dirac did 2:

$$\text{Ansatz: } H = c \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i p_i + \beta m c^2. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= (c\alpha \cdot p + \beta m c^2)(c\alpha \cdot p + \beta m c^2) \\ &= c^2 (\alpha \cdot p)^2 + \alpha \cdot p \beta m c^2 + \beta m c^2 \alpha \cdot p + \beta^2 m^2 c^4 \\ &= c^2 \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i p_i \alpha_j p_j + \sum_i (\alpha_i \beta + \beta \alpha_i) p_i m c^2 + \beta^2 m^2 c^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} c^2 \sum_{i < j} (\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i) p_i p_j + \sum_i (\alpha_i \beta + \beta \alpha_i) p_i m c^2 + \beta^2 m^2 c^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Conditions: } \alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 2\delta_{ij}$$

$$\alpha_i \beta + \beta \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{from } H^2 = -c^2 \hbar^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4.$$

$$\beta^2 = 1.$$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_i, \beta$ have to be matrices.

Possible choice:

$$\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\beta := \begin{pmatrix} 1_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & -1_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $H := -i\hbar c \alpha \cdot \nabla + \beta m c^2$ is free Dirac operator and

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -i\hbar c \alpha \cdot \nabla + \beta m c^2, \quad \psi(t, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t, x) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_4(t, x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^4$$

free Dirac equation

Rigorous definition of the operator:

Hilbert space: $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^{\oplus 4}$, $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_4)$, $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \int \sum_{i=1}^4 \overline{\psi_i(x)} \phi_i(x) dx$.

Domain: $\mathcal{D}(H) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^{\oplus 4}$,

$$H\psi := -i \alpha \cdot \nabla \psi + \beta m \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(H) \quad (h=c=1 \text{ from now on})$$

Selfadjointness:

How? Fourier transform! $\mathcal{F}: L_x^2 \rightarrow L_p^2$

$$\mathcal{F} H \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} m 1_{2 \times 2} & \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \sigma_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \sigma_i & -m 1_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} =: u(p).$$

Eigenvalues of matrix:

$$\lambda_1(p) = \lambda_2(p) = -\lambda_3(p) = -\lambda_4(p) = \sqrt{|p|^2 + m^2}$$

$$=: \lambda(p)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|p|^2}}$$

Diagonalisation: $u(p) := a_+(p) 1_{4 \times 4} + a_-(p) \beta \frac{1}{|p|} \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i \sigma_i$ unitary, where

$$a_{\pm}(p) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 \pm \frac{m}{\lambda(p)}}. \quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{m^2}{p^2+m^2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{p^2}{p^2+m^2}}}$$

Then

$$u(p) u(p)^{-1} = \lambda(p) \beta.$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ as } p^2 \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence,

$$(u \mathcal{F}) H (u \mathcal{F})^{-1}(p) = \lambda(p) \beta \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}_p^3)^4$$

↑
selfadjoint on $\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^4 \mid (1+|p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^4\}$

$\Rightarrow H$ selfadjoint on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ and $\sigma(H) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$

Proof of selfadjointness of h :

β symmetric $\Rightarrow D(\beta) \subset D((\beta*)^*) \rightsquigarrow$ Only need to show that $D((\beta*)^*) \subset D(\beta) = \{\phi \in L^2 \mid \lambda\phi \in L^2\}$.

$\phi \in D(h^*) \Leftrightarrow \langle \lambda\psi, \phi \rangle$ continuous in ψ . Assume $\lambda\phi \notin L^2$ for contradiction.

Consider $\chi_R\psi$: $\langle \lambda\chi_R\psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \lambda\psi, \chi_R\phi \rangle$

$$= \langle \psi, \lambda\chi_R\phi \rangle , \quad \chi_R = \chi_{B_R(0)}$$

$$\exists \psi_R \in L^2: \|\psi_R\| = 1, \quad \langle \psi_R, \lambda\chi_R\phi \rangle = \|\lambda\chi_R\phi\|_{L^2} \quad (\text{by Hahn-Banach thm.})$$

Since $\lambda\phi \notin L^2$: $\|\lambda\chi_R\phi\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$ ($R \rightarrow \infty$)

\rightsquigarrow sequence (ψ_R) with $\langle \psi_R, \lambda\chi_R\phi \rangle \rightarrow \infty$

$\Leftrightarrow \langle \lambda(\chi_R\psi_R), \phi \rangle \rightarrow \infty$, but $\|\chi_R\psi_R\| \leq 1$.

$\Rightarrow \langle \lambda\psi, \phi \rangle$ not continuous in ψ ∇

□

Spectral subspaces of H :

Denote $W := u \circ f$ the diagonalisation: $(WHW^{-1})(p) = \lambda(p)\beta$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\oplus 4}$.

Define projections

$$P_{\pm} := W^{-1} \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \beta) W$$

and subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pm} := \text{Ran } P_{\pm}$$

Note that

$$\text{Ran } \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \text{Ran } \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\oplus 4} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$$

and $H|_{\mathcal{H}_+}$ positive, $H|_{\mathcal{H}_-}$ negative. In fact,

$$H = U|H|, \quad \text{where } |H| = \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} \text{ (positive)} \quad \text{and } U = 1 \oplus (-1) \text{ w.r.t. } \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$$

"Polar decomposition".

Potentials:

Dirac operator for particle in external field:

$$H := -i \underbrace{\alpha \cdot \nabla}_{H_0} + \beta m + V, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$$

V = multiplication operator by 4×4 matrix.

Types of potentials:

Scalar: $V(x) = \beta \phi_{sc}(x), \quad \phi: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$

Electromagnetic: Vector potential: $A = (\phi_\alpha, \vec{A})$

$$V(x) = \phi_\alpha(x) \mathbf{1}_m - \alpha \cdot \vec{A}(x)$$

... and many others...

Self-adjointness

Thm: $V: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ hermitian such that for all $i, k = 1, \dots, 4$

$$|V_{ik}(x)| \leq \frac{a}{2|x|} + b \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\text{bd}\},$$

with $b > 0, a < 1$. Then $H = H_0 + V$ is essentially selfadjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\text{bd}\})^4$ and selfadjoint on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^4$.

"boundedness \Rightarrow selfadjointness"

Proof: Assumptions imply that V is rel. bdd. w.r.t. H_0 with relative bound < 1 . \square

Thm:

V hermitian and for all $i, k = 1, \dots, 4$ one has $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Then $H = H_0 + V$ is essentially self adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)^4$

"smoothness \Rightarrow selfadjointness"

Proof: Basic criterion plus elliptic regularity. □

Compare this to Schrödinger!

Essential spectrum

$$\sigma_e(H) := \{\lambda \in \sigma(H) \mid \lambda \text{ accumulation point of } \sigma(H) \text{ or } \dim(\ker(\lambda - H)) = \infty\}$$

We have seen last time:

$$\sigma_e(H_0) = (-\infty, m] \cup [m, \infty)$$

Question: How does σ_e change if we add potential?

Abstract criterion:

Thm: Let A, B selfadjoint and

$$(A-z)^{-1} - (B-z)^{-1} \text{ compact for some } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\text{Then } \sigma_e(A) = \sigma_e(B).$$

This can be used to prove

Thm: Let $H = H_0 + V$ be selfadjoint and V be H_0 -bounded with

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|V(H_0 - z)^{-1} \chi_{|k| \geq R}\| = 0. \quad (*)$$

$$\text{Then } \sigma_e(H) = (-\infty, m] \cup [m, \infty).$$

Remark:

(*) is implied by $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, but allows more general V .

Potentials tending to infinity

Thm:

Let $V = \phi_{el} \mathbb{1}_{|x|<1}$ and assume that

(i) $\phi_{el}(x) = v(|x|)$

(ii) $|v(r)| \rightarrow \infty$ if $r \rightarrow \infty$

(iii) $\left| \frac{v'(r)}{v(r)} \right| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $\sigma(H_0 + V) = (-\infty, \infty)$.

Compare to Schrödinger!

Thm:

If $V = \beta \cdot \phi_{sc}$ and

(i) $|\phi_{sc}(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$

(ii) $\phi_{sc}, \nabla \phi_{sc}$ rel. bdd. w.r.t. $-\Delta + \phi_{sc}^2$

Then $\sigma(H_0 + V)$ is purely discrete.

Proof:

Supersymmetric methods $\Rightarrow \sigma(D)$ determined by $\sigma(D^* D)$

↑
Schrödinger

□

Remark:

- No explicit knowledge about $D(H_0 + V)$
- No compact resolvent
- H not sectorial \Rightarrow no bilinear form defining H .